MINUTES
FACULTY SENATE MEETING
1 April 1997

Chairperson D. Eidam called the meeting to order at 4:06 p.m. in Chryst Hall, Room 210. All departmental senators attended except those from Communication and Theatre and Health and Physical Education. Student senate president P. Leahy and K. McGinnis attended for student senate.

Minutes

Senate approved the 18 March 1997 meeting minutes with the following correction on p. 4180: regarding HIST 209 under proposed courses, the phrase "non-liberal arts core" should be deleted.

Reports

Chairperson's Report

Chairperson D. Eidam announced that the summer meeting of senate will be held on Thursday, June 19 at 3:00 p.m. in McComsey's Myers Auditorium.

Eidam distributed a draft of a list of senate committees, with vacancies noted, and asked all senators to review the format and report any corrections to him. The call for nominations will go out to all faculty this semester. He also asked that any committee chairs who are planning not to run for re-election let him know this as soon as possible.

L. Suskie, Assistant to the President for Special Projects, will present the results of the CIRP report at the 15 April meeting of senate. M. Warmkessel and J. Stager of the Technology Vision task force will make a report at the 6 May meeting of senate.

The election of the chair of the Women's Studies Steering Committee is scheduled for the 15 April meeting. All faculty who are now teaching or who have taught Women's Studies courses are eligible for nomination. A Kerper/Mainzer motion was approved to postpone the election until the senate chairperson can confer with the provost to verify the accuracy of the list which had been distributed to all senators.

Eidam mentioned that university administrators were involved in several special activities taking place on campus today, including the Women in Science and Mathematics Conference and the Outcomes Assessment workshop.

Student Senate President

Student Senate President P. Leahy reported that the next meeting of student senate will be held on Thursday, 3 April. Nominations closed today for the elections which will be held on 17 April. The allocations committee is hard at work trying to balance the budget.

Committee Reports

Academic Outcomes Assessment Committee
Academic Outcomes Assessment Committee chairperson R. Mainzer reported that more than 60 people participated in the outcomes assessment workshop held on campus today. So far, feedback has been quite positive.

**Undergraduate Course and Program Review Committee**

Undergraduate Course and Program Review Committee chairperson R. Wismer introduced one new program proposal under the one meeting rule.

Option in Environmental Chemistry

**Academic Policies Committee**

Academic Policies Committee chairperson K. Bookmiller reported that she will have a motion for the next senate meeting regarding majors and minors and course counting for interdisciplinary minors.

**University Theme Committee**

University Theme Committee chairperson J. Piperberg noted that by the summer meeting of senate the committee will have selected a proposal for the 2000-2001 University Theme.

**Joint Senate Conference Committee**

Joint Senate Conference Committee chairperson J. Piperberg summarized the Proposal for a Four Year Contract with Students (see Attachment A) which was distributed to senate for informational purposes. The committee was asked to address six questions and explored such issues as how Millersville compares with other institutions; why students do not finish in four years; which majors routinely allow students to complete programs in four years; and which majors do not typically allow students to complete programs in four years. The proposal enumerates those things that students and the university can do to facilitate graduation in four years. The committee believes that the goals can be accomplished without having a formal contract.

A report will be made for informational purposes at a meeting of the student senate. The proposal will go directly to the provost.

Discussion focused on the availability of courses as this relates to changes in the student-faculty ratio. Other points of discussion included the need to raise the 16 credit registration limit not just for dual majors but for other majors as well. Both computer science and mathematics majors have problems with this limit.

**Faculty Emeritus**

A J. Lynch/J. Piperberg motion to recommend Gene Wise Director of Financial Aid Emeritus passed unanimously (see Attachment B).

**Proposed Courses**

Senate approved three undergraduate courses:

**HNRS/BIOL 212:** Honors Zoology Seminar, a new one credit hour course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.
**GEOG 333**: Biogeography, a new three credit hour Liberal Arts Core course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.

**SOWK 405**: Human Behavior and the Social Environment II, a new three credit hour course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.

Senate approved one undergraduate program:

**A Music Department Proposal**

**Business**

**Faculty Senate Elections**

Due to senate’s approval to expand faculty representation on the Academic Standards Committee, the election for the four additional committee members was held. Seven faculty members were nominated: M. Chaudhary (Department of Computer Science), B. Ikenaga (Department of Mathematics); J. Mone (Biology Department); I. Sigler (Department of Mathematics); M. White (Library Department); A. Kelly (English Department); J. Rudden (Department of Elementary and Early Childhood Education). All senators voted by preferential balloting. The ballots will be tallied after the meeting.

**Report of the General Education Review Committee**

C. Stameshkin continued discussion of the motions submitted by the General Education Review Committee at the 18 March 1997 senate meeting (see Attachment D of the 18 March 1997 minutes).

A Wismer/Lynch motion was passed to limit discussion of this topic to 20 minutes.

A Stameshkin/Borger-Reese motion was made to recommend that the task force strike the original wording of item #5, phase 1, leaving intact the words added by the McLeod/Dorman addition. Item #5 in phase 1 reduces the required number of 200-level courses in general education from four to three.

Discussion focused on whether this reduction would actually be a cost saving measure. The suggestion was made that if it is necessary to increase the size of certain classes that this should not be done in freshman level classes. A question was raised about the relationship between "W" courses and 200-level courses and whether there are any departments that typically have students complete the "W" requirement entirely within the major. Further discussion covered specifics of how individual departments might deal with changes in class size. The motion carried.

Senate adjourned at 5:01 p.m. the next meeting will be Tuesday, 15 April 1997, from 4:05-5:45 p.m. in Chryst 210.

Respectfully submitted,

Marjorie M. Warmkessel
Acting Secretary
Faculty Senate
1. Course and Program Approvals
   Senate approved three undergraduate courses:
   **HNRS/BIOL 212:** Honors Zoology Seminar, a new one credit hour course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.
   **GEOG 333:** Biogeography, a new three credit hour Liberal Arts Core course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.
   **SOWK 405:** Human Behavior and the Social Environment II, a new three credit hour course to be first offered in the Fall of 1997 if approved.
   Senate approved one undergraduate program:
   **A Music Department Proposal**

2. Faculty Senate Elections
   A Kerper/Mainzer motion was approved to postpone the election (originally scheduled for 15 April 1997) until the senate chairperson can confer with the provost to verify the accuracy of the list which had been distributed to all senators.
   Senate held an election to fill the four additional slots on the Academic Standards Committee. Nominees were: M. Chaudhary (CSCI); B. Ikenaga (MATH); J. Mone (BIOL); I. Sigler (MATH); M. White (LIBR); A. Kelly (ENGL); J. Rudden (ELED). The results of the preferential balloting will be determined following the meeting.

3. Faculty Emeritus
   Senate recommended Gene Wise as Director of Financial Aid emeritus.

   Senate recommended that the General Education Task Force strike the original wording of item #5, phase 1, leaving intact the words added by the McLeod/Dorman addition. Item #5 in phase 1 reduces the required number of 200-level courses in general education from four to three.
Attachment A
Faculty Senate Minutes
1 April 1997

To: Provost Francine McNairy and Faculty Senate
    Joint Faculty and Student Senate Conference Committee
    (Joel Piperberg, Bill Dorman, Joe Lynch, Richard Kerper
From: Chris Mulvihill and Sue Wong)
    Albert Hoffman, Dean of School of Science and Mathematics
    James Stager, Associate Vice President for Academic Affairs
Re: Proposal for a Four Year Contract with Students
Date: April 1, 1997

The Joint Faculty-Student Senate Conference Committee along with two members of the
Dean's Council has been asked to prepare an official statement regarding Millersville
University's ability to enable students to graduate with a baccalaureate degree within a
four year period. In so doing, we have addressed the following issues:

BACKGROUND

Graduate Rates at Millersville University and Other Universities

Data for freshmen entering as full-time undergraduates between 1988 and 1991 indicate
that between 31.8 and 39.7% of those students graduated within four years. This number
rises to 61.9-65.1% within five years for the 1988 through 1990 cohorts and 66.0-69.0%
within six years for the 1988 and 1989 cohorts. These figures, at first glance, may seem
low, but they compare favorably with similar statistics from other institutions. For
example, the State System Research Office has determined that 4 year graduation rates of
the 1990 and 1991 cohorts for the State System Universities average 27.8% and 26.0%
respectively (6.4% - 44.4% for the 1990 cohort; 9.9% - 41.9% for the 1991 cohort). The 4
year graduation rates for Millersville University in the 1990 and 1991 cohorts were 39.6%
and 39.7%, second and third, respectively, out of the fourteen State System Universities.
MU also compares favorably with national rates compiled from 125 public and 39 private
cohorts, the national averages of students graduating within four years were 27.5% and
27.3% respectively. For the 1990 cohort, these figures rise rapidly at MU (61.9%), within
the State System (51.5%) and nationally (48.6%) when the rates are determined for
students earning their degrees within five years. The range in State System Universities for
graduation within 5 years is 22.0% to 66.1% (MU ranks third in the System). Most of the
increase in the fifth year at MU occurs after the first semester of that year suggesting that
students graduating in the fifth year need to finish at most one semester of academic work,
sometimes substantially less. Data are not yet available for graduating rates within five
years for the 1991 cohort or within six years for either the 1990 or 1991 cohort.

A number of factors may contribute to the seemingly low four year graduation rates. Interestingly, a significant number of students are unaware that a course load of at least 15 sh/semester is required to graduate within 4 years (8 consecutive semesters). This is, in part, due to the well-known definition of a 12 sh load as a full-time load. Some students apparently believe that a schedule of 12sh/semester, since it is by definition a full-time load, will give them a sufficient number of credits to graduate in four years. In addition, about 33% of our students change their majors and if the change occurs too late, it may delay graduation. Other factors include the transfer of students to other institutions, leaves of absence or the departure of students from the University for personal or academic reasons, low cumulative GPAs and others.

Many times, the extension in time normally required to earn a degree is due to a decreased academic load during one and often multiple semesters. Decreased academic loads are often consciously chosen by students because of their desire to maintain the best cumulative GPA possible, their need to work to support the cost of their education, or because of health-related issues; such students have been recognized as exemplars of a recently recognized type of student behavior called extenders. These students have been placed in two separate categories: vocational and collegiate extenders. Vocational extenders have more elevated levels of financial need and loan indebtedness. They often state that they must work to meet their expenses and they tend to have lower cumulative GPAs. A significant number of these students attend MU and some appear before the Academic Standards Committee when their cumulative GPAs slip too far. Collegiate extenders often take a lighter credit load because they want more free time. They will sometimes drop one or more courses during the semester because their course work is too difficult and/or because their grade is poorer than they would like. Both of these two similar behaviors lead to graduation dates being pushed beyond the normally expected four year date.

Degree Programs Enabling students to Graduate Within Four Years

For the 1993-94 academic year, the following programs on average allowed students to graduate within four years: History (4.03 years), Music Education (3.97 years), Elementary Education (3.93 years), Political Science (3.91 years) and Anthropology (3.71 years). All other programs averaged over four years.

Despite the above averages, it appears that most of the degree programs at MU can be completed within four years. In some cases, the ability to finish the degree within this window depends upon the proper sequence of courses being taken in a timely fashion and
the absence of remedial courses.

*The School of Science and Mathematics* Within the Biology Department, the following programs can be completed within four years if the student begins with MATH 160 (Elementary Functions) and CHEM 111 (Introductory Chemistry I) and does not fail any courses or take courses that do not fulfill requirements: the BA, BS and BSE in Biology, the BS (Molecular Biology Option) and the BS (Ecology Option). The Nuclear Medical Technology (122 sh minimum), Medical Technology (123 sh minimum), Preoptometry (124 sh) and Prepodiatry (124 sh minimum) options are competitive at the clinical/entry stage and thus entry cannot be guaranteed.

*The School of Humanities and Social Sciences* A survey of the departments in the School of Humanities and Social Sciences indicates that all but two programs (the Art BSE and BFA programs [132 sh minimum]) can normally be completed within four years.

*The School of Education* The Department Chairs of the School of Education have stated that a number of dual majors could not be completed within four years (Psychology - Special Education, Psychology - Sociology, Psychology - Philosophy, and Technology - Education, for example). They also feel that some teacher education programs are likely to take longer than four years (BSE-Social Studies, BSE-Chemistry and the BSE programs in Art and Music and others may fall into this group of majors).

**Degree Programs From Which Students Typically Do Not Graduate Within Four Years**

For graduates during the academic year 1993-94, the following programs on average required significantly longer than four years: Educational Technology (5.37 years), Music (5.28 years), Meteorology (5.07 years), Economics (5.02 years) and Industry and Technology (4.95 years). Together, these majors accounted for 7.0% of the graduates for the 1993-94 academic year. For freshmen entering Millersville between 1981 and 1991, the ITEC program averaged the fewest students graduating within four years.

*The School of Science and Mathematics* Two programs within the Biology Department require more than 8 semesters for the completion of the degree: the Respiratory Therapy program and the Marine Biology option. The Respiratory Therapy option requires 5.5 years with the inclusion of the clinical portion of the program. The Marine Biology option requires one summer at Wallops Island. This, however, can be completed within 4 calendar years if the student goes to Marine Science Consortium at Wallops Island sometime before the Senior year.
The School of Humanities and Social Sciences The two programs within the School of Humanities and Social Sciences that cannot routinely be completed within four years are the Art BSE and BFA degrees. Both require 132 sh which amounts to an additional (ninth) semester of classes.

Strategies Employed By the University to Facilitate Graduation Within Four Years

The University employs a number of strategies that help to facilitate the graduation within four years of students who take an appropriate number of credits each semester. Among these strategies are:

1. The University supplies each student with an advisor to explain the graduation requirements and assist the student with the process. It is the student’s responsibility to meet with his/her advisor regularly and adhere to the requirements. Often students do not fulfill this responsibility.

2. Prior to preregistration, deans, department chairs and scheduling committees attempt to predict the needs for seats in their departments’ courses based upon enrollments in prerequisites, numbers of majors, faculty complement, data from the Registrar, information from the Admissions Office and the enrollment projections team and other historical information. They assign faculty to teach the courses indicated by the predicted needs and arrange the schedule with as few conflicts within and between departments as possible.

3. After preregistration, additional sections for courses that are oversubscribed can be opened as long as funds, adjuncts or regular faculty members are available. If unforeseen course conflicts arise, changes are made, when possible, to eliminate these conflicts. Occasionally, if courses are undersubscribed causing their cancellation, students who require those courses can obtain them through individualized instruction. Required courses are offered as often as possible, in many cases at least one section or more during each semester. Such courses are also offered during the summer or winter sessions.

4. In recent years, the enrollment limits on certain courses have been raised to make room for more students, a strategy which some consider to be potentially counterproductive since a lower faculty-student ratio is considered by many authorities to be a positive indicator of quality. For example, in certain courses (Writing courses and Perspectives courses), a small class size was considered to be an essential part of the classroom/educational experience. The size of these classes has now been increased making them more accessible to students which altering the experience for the students in terms of faculty:student ratio.
Adjustments to the General Education requirements have been made in the past and are presently under consideration. One result of such adjustments would be to make it easier for students to fulfill graduation requirements within four years.

It would appear from the information above that the strategies presently employed have been successful, but some further improvements in the system could boost four year graduation rates even further.

RECOMMENDATIONS

What Can Students Do to Maximize Their Ability to Graduate Within Four Years?

To facilitate graduation within four years, a student must satisfy a number of requirements and adhere to a relatively rigid schedule. Much of what a student must accomplish may seem obvious, but it does not hurt to state it for the record. Failure to adhere to any one of the suggestions listed below could result in a delay of the graduation date beyond four academic years from the beginning of the freshman year.

A student must be enrolled in course work at Millersville for 8 consecutive Fall and Spring semesters and, as a general guideline, complete no fewer than 60 semester hours of applicable course work with passing grades by the end of the second year (24 calendar months), 90 hours by the end of the third year (36 calendar months) and 120 (or more hours in the case of some majors) by the end of the fourth year. It is recommended that students enroll in and pass 15 semester hours of classes each semester.

The student should successfully finish a minimum of 30 semester hours of General Education Core courses by the end of the second year, including core courses that also meet major requirements (required-related courses). All remaining General Education requirements must be fulfilled by the end of the eighth semester. Obviously, a student’s major requirements should be completed by the end of the eighth semester of study.

The student should begin a recommended plan of study toward the major/option in which s/he plans to graduate no later than the start of the third semester of study and thereafter make adequate progress toward completing the major. Adequate progress should be defined by each major department in a statement provided at the time the major is declared or any other time. Specific majors may vary in this requirement. Generally, the Sciences require an earlier commitment.

Students should be notified that once a major has been chosen, a change in major may delay graduation pushing it beyond four years. It is likely that shifts to different options within the same major will not push graduation beyond four years unless the requirements for the two options are significantly different.
Each student should read the relevant sections of the University catalog and departmental handbooks, adhere to the general credit and enrollment policies and minimum major requirements stated therein and meet with his/her assigned advisor at least once per semester; the student should avoid taking courses that are in conflict with that advice. If the policies are unclear to the student, s/he should consult with his/her faculty advisor or Academic Advisement as soon as possible for clarification thus minimizing the chance that s/he will take courses that are in conflict with major and General Education requirements.

Before registration, the student should compile a list of courses that s/he would like to take and that would fulfill requirements so that if one course is not available at registration, another one on the list can be substituted. After meeting with his/her adviser, the student should register as soon as possible after his/her assigned registration time to maximize the chances of getting the courses s/he needs. If the student does not get a desired course, s/he should place his/her name on a waiting list for the course and watch for openings in the courses regularly after the registration period has ended. It is important that the student not register for and take courses that will not fulfill graduation requirements. While such courses are undoubtedly valuable for the information and concepts they convey to the student, too many of them will take the place of courses that do fulfill requirements and will thus delay the student’s graduation date.

Students should note that remedial courses do not count toward graduation requirements and that taking such courses can contribute to a delay in graduation date or may necessitate taking a Summer or Winter session course.

Students should keep documentation that requirements have been satisfied (e.g., advising meeting attendance, advising records and instructions, degree audit sheets, etc.) and regularly monitor their progress to catch any potential problems before they are difficult to correct. The DARS report should facilitate this process.

To insure graduation within four years, it is important that each student earns a cumulative GPA of at least 2.0 per semester so that an overall cumulative GPA of at least 2.0 can be maintained. As a corollary to this, a student should earn grades of C or better in all course work required for the major and have a cumulative GPA of 2.00 in all major course work attempted. While this is not a requirement, it will help the student maintain a suitable cumulative GPA. Students should also be aware that some majors/options may have more rigorous requirements for retention in the major. for these majors/options a cumulative GPA of 2.0 may not be sufficient to continue with the junior/senior years of a program. Furthermore, students should remember that failing grades are very likely to delay graduation since they often require course repeats and thus additional time.

The student should notify the University in writing through the graduation application of
his/her intent to graduate at the proper time.

What Can the University Do to Increase the Number/Percentage of Students Graduating Within Four Years?

When compared nationally and with other State-System universities, Millersville University has done a good job of creating an environment that facilitates graduation within the normally accepted four year period. However, there is room for improvement. While the student is ultimately responsible for the progress of his/her academic career, it is the responsibility of the University through its policies and offerings to maximize the number/percentage of students who can complete the baccalaureate degree in four years. It is the belief of the committee that a number of strategies will make it easier for students to finish their education in four years, perhaps elevate the percentages somewhat and eliminate some confusion that exists in some members of the student body. Such responsibility largely encompasses properly staffing the University with a sufficient number of faculty members to satisfy the demands of the student body and offering the appropriate number of courses to satisfy those demands. Many faculty members feel strongly that this should not be accomplished by increasing student-faculty ratios to the extent that learning suffers.

**Recommended Student Schedule-Planning Aids**

*The University should improve the information students are provided to assist them in planning their academic schedules and consequent improvements in academic advisement.* There should be clear statements in the University catalog about majors/options that routinely take longer than four years to complete. Sample schedules for each major/option demonstrating the kinds of strategies students can use to
1. complete the major within four years should be prepared and distributed. These schedules could be prepared on a semester-by-semester or year-by-year basis. Each department should determine which type of sample schedule works best with each major. It should be emphasized that such sample schedules are examples and not required. The major purpose of these schedules would be to demonstrate that a four year degree is possible and how it can be done.

*Advisors should be assigned to get the best fit between the discipline of the advisor and the most likely major for the student.* When possible, undeclared students should also be paired with an appropriate advisor in their most likely major. The University should
2. continue to improve academic advisement and review academic policies that may be responsible for slowing down student academic progress without eliminating rigor within the program, e.g. changes in the General Education curriculum, changes in major requirements, etc.

3. *The University should widely publicize the fact that a minimum of 15 sh/semester is*
required for a student to graduate within four years.

We should add to the University catalog a statement, perhaps in the form of a code, indicating when each course will normally be taught in the Fall, in the spring, in both semesters or in the summer/winter sessions). This should be done as soon as possible. It will help students to develop a long-term plan for their academic careers.

Students and faculty may be unaware that soon after preregistration closes, registration reopens and remains open until the day before the first class of the next semester begins. Better publicity about this might help students clean up their schedules in a more timely fashion.

Pamphlets (Do You Want to Graduate in Four Years?) should be prepared for distribution to the student body listing the things that students must do in order to assure they graduate in a timely fashion. Included in the pamphlet should be lists of behaviors that can delay graduation: low credit load, dropping courses, failing courses, courses that do not fulfill requirements, delayed entry into prerequisite courses, D's in prerequisite courses, late declaration of majors, etc.

7. Students should be told that internships and co-ops can sometimes delay graduation.

The University should emphasize a large majority of C's can lead to academic difficulty. While a C is a respectable grade and is considered to be indicative of satisfactory work, higher grades are needed to balance grades below a C.

Registration-Related Recommendations

It may be useful to conduct a separate survey indicating what courses students intend to take two semesters in the future (for example, registration in Spring 1997 for classes students intend to take in Spring 1998). Such advance information may help department chairs to plan more accurately when they are putting together their schedules. An added advantage of this approach may be that students will plan their schedules farther in advance than they presently do.

2. The role of waiting lists should be clarified for both faculty and students.

The University should provide courses as needed. For example, if the need for a required related course or a course within the major becomes evident during registration, resources should be obtained and made available to provide another section or sections of the course if necessary. The University should also strive to offer remedial, prerequisite and core courses during the Summer and Winter sessions to provide an opportunity for students to "catch up" if they should fall behind by failing a course or if they are unable to obtain such courses during the Fall or Spring semester.

4. The 16 credit registration limit at Registration should be lifted for students who are dual
majors with cumulative GPAs of 3.0 or higher.

Students should be allowed to register for null courses. Since students often register for courses they do not intend to take when the courses they want are unavailable at registration, it is difficult to make appropriate adjustments by offering new sections and canceling courses that are undersubscribed. Null course registration should lead to better enrollment management information making it easier to effect appropriate scheduling adjustments. Students could then change their registration later once compensatory changes have been made.

SUMMARY

The above issues were considered as a first step in investigating the feasibility of a four year degree commitment to our students, similar to that imposed on state-affiliated schools in Iowa. It is the judgment of the committee that we can accomplish the goals of the four year commitment without entering into a contractual agreement that would require additional bureaucracy to monitor and may cause unforeseeable problems. It would be difficult to administer and monitor and might lead to student frustration resulting from attempts to adhere to a detailed set of rules. Furthermore, the Committee believes that it could create as much bad will as good will and could conceivably be counterproductive. In its first year at the University of Iowa, this program experienced 50% participation by freshmen; at Iowa State University, only 146 entering freshmen enrolled in the program’s first year. There was a small increase in the number of credits taken per semester by enrollees relative to non-participants (14.16 compared to 13.58), both of which are below the 15 credits per semester required for graduation within 4 years. There was a higher cumulative GPA within the group of participants; better students may be more likely to participate.

We feel that increased effort in heightening student awareness of what is needed to ensure graduation within four years will improve graduation rates without much added cost or bureaucracy. This will serve to clarify the process and give students more guidance on what they need to do if they want to graduate within four years and should foster a decreased level of frustration with the system without the added risk and difficulty of a contract.

We have come to realize while investigating the issue of a four-year commitment that a number of matters that we have considered impact the above issue but also affect the day-to-day activities at the University and problems that often exist. We, therefore, recommend that the University embark upon a study of issues related to registration and scheduling and the distribution to students of information related to those topics in the hope that the associated procedures can be streamlined and made more efficient and workable for everyone.
RESOLUTION

WHEREAS Gene Wise retired in January 1997 after serving as the Director of Financial Aid at Millersville University for 30 years; and

WHEREAS He participated in the creation of the University’s Financial Aid Office; and

WHEREAS He conscientiously served the financial aid needs of thousands of Millersville students and their families; and

WHEREAS He served on numerous committees which determined financial aid policy for the SSHE system; and

WHEREAS He consistently demonstrated his sincere interest in the welfare of Millersville students; and

WHEREAS He was active in the Lancaster community providing information regarding financial aid to high school students and their families; and

WHEREAS He was highly regarded across the state for his financial aid expertise and accomplishments; and

WHEREAS He served as an excellent representative of the University in numerous settings; and

WHEREAS He served the University community on numerous committees, as a Faculty Senator and as the baseball coach from 1966 through 1977;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED: That Gene Wise be given the honorary title of Director of Financial Aid Emeritus.
Attachment D

Faculty Senate Minutes

18 March 1997

General Education Review Committee

Motions for the Senate’s Consideration

**Motion #1. Replace item #4, phase 2 with the following:**

"If the faculty fails to approve a revised general education curriculum by Fall, 1999, which resolves the issue of whether or not some or all label requirements should be kept, label requirements will be eliminated as of Fall 1999."

Rationale: The GERC offers this as a compromise between the present task force recommendation to unconditionally eliminate labels by Fall, 1999 and our earlier proposal to simply strike this item. Our committee has confidence that we can obtain genuine curricular reform by the date indicated, which is the task force’s date for the implementation of Phase 2. This wording sets no limits on what this revised curriculum should look like, except that it must be approved by the faculty. The task force gets what it wanted, which we understand to be a real assurance that present momentum for change will not be lost, and real change will occur by their target date.

**Motion #2. That we strike item #3, phase 1, abolishing the C/Q requirement.**

Rationale: The task force has failed to establish that this will benefit students. If the only students having difficulty satisfying this are art majors, perhaps someone needs to examine why this is true before we change the rules for everyone. In addition, if the administration is concerned that one source of economic problems is the fact that students don’t sufficiently value 100 level courses, abolishing the C/Q requirement would seem to be a step backwards, as these are the labels most commonly attached to 100 level courses. If we believe that critical and quantitative reasoning improvement is an important gen ed goal, we should not eliminate without a suitable substitute the one requirement that supports this objective.
Motion #3. That we strike the original wording of item #5, phase 1, leaving intact the words added by the McLeod/Dorman addition.

Rationale: The goal of achieving greater economic efficiency is very important, but we must make sure we do not end up sacrificing educational quality in the process. If we wish to make taking a few significantly larger courses more attractive to students, we need to ask how this can be done with the least risk. Already, students are able to take eight 100-level courses, so non-science majors already able to take eight 100-level courses, so non-science majors already have plenty of room to take say, between one and three larger classes in the social sciences and humanities under the present curriculum. (Science majors rarely take eight 100-level now, between having several upper level required related courses to count in the G blocks and having to satisfy the "w" requirements.) Do we really want our students, particularly our freshmen, to take more than two or three larger classes?

If we succeed in raising the student/faculty ratio, we will already be automatically moving Millersville down a little in the ratings systems, such as those used by U.S. News and World Reports. However, student/faculty ratio is only one factor used, so if other factors stay the same, little harm may be done. However, other factors used include retention rates, graduation rates, and academic reputation, all of which could also be affected if raising class sizes leads to alienation and academic difficulties. In addition to our principled commitment to preserving educational quality, we must be careful that being more efficient in one area doesn't end up costing us students, and hence more money. Letting departments work out their own ways to achieve greater economic efficiency is less likely to have this effect. In addition, we should find ways to advise departments on how they can increase "productivity" while doing as little damage as possible to educational quality using such means as (a) applications of new technologies, (b) removal of "w" labels from some 200+ level classes that departments feel lend themselves to being taught in larger sections without loss of quality, (3) creation of new courses which are amenable to larger class sizes, (4) removal of obstacles to larger classes involving available facilities, compensation issues, etc., and (5) scheduling of and obtaining funding for relevant faculty development activities.