Standard #4 Measurement and Analysis of Student Learning and Performance Use this table to supply data for Criterion 4.2.

| Performance | Definition | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator 1. Student Learning | A student learning outcome is one that measures a specific competency attainment. Examples of a direct assessment (evidence) of student learning attainment that might be used include: capstone performance, third-party examination, faculty- | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | designed examination, professional performance, licensure examination). Add these to the description of the measurement instrument in column two: Analysis of Results | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance Measure | What is your measurement instrument or process? | Current Results | Analysis of Results | Action Taken or Improvement made | Insert Graphs or Tables of Resulting Trends (3-5 data points preferred) | | | | | |
| Measurable goal | Do not use grades. | What are your current results? | What did you learn from the results? | What did you improve or what is your next step? | | | | | | |
| What is your goal? | (Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparitive | | | | | | | | | |
| LO1- Integration across disciplines - Overall Program Measures Our goal is continuous improvement. | The BUAD program uses the ETS-Business Test - External - summative. Administered every two years in the Spring semester. ETS Test - overall percentile score for the overall program and broken down by option. Summative, External Comparative | The 2016 results show and overall improvement over 2014, not quite hitting the 60th percentile. All options except finance showed improvement, with Management and Marketing both over 40th. See the finance tab for more discussion of the finance decleine. The International Business option students were less than the 5 needed to form an ETS group. | Overall this is a good result. Accounting and Finance were unlikely to maintain the very high results in 2012. The fact that management and marketing are improving is gratifying. Finance's 2016 result is troubling, see discussion on the finance tab. | None - this is an overall score - see below for more analysis and closing the loop examples. | ETS- Overall - By Option 100 80 60 40 20 0 | | | | | |
| | Summative, External Comparative Data prior two administrations | Goal - continuous improvement. Average was 65. Score declined from 85, previous test, to 51. Comparison with 2010 test shows improvement | The result for 2014 is below the average and the recent administration, Since there has been no change in the course content in Econ 101 or Econ 102, faculty conclusion is that the 2012 score was an outlier. | recommendations to economics department | ETS-Economics 100 80 40 2010 2012 2014 2016 ETS-Economics | | | | | |
| IO2 -Analytical ability using the Quantitative Business Store on the ETS Business Test | Summative - External Comparative Data - prior two administrations | Goal - continuous improvement, but in this case we have a continued slide from a high in 2010. | Scores: 2010-80, 2012-76, 2014- 53. This trend indicates that we are not meeting our goal. Student preparation for college is one explanation as the SAT score has declined over the four year period. Also, since the ETS test is administered in BUAD 455 - we need to study how the prerequisites are enforced in that course. | BUAD 455 is essential. We have | ETS-Quantitative 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 20 2010 2012 2014 2016 | | | | | |
| using the Legal/Social Score on the ETS Business Test | | 2014 score is far below the 2012 score (which was very high), but it is also below the 2010 result | concern. | Dr. Galante as the lead full-time colleague in this area has improved coordination with the adjunct that teaches half of the BUAD 202 classes, a shift of emphasis has been put in place. Also, the Joint Curriculum Committee is reconsidering Ethics across the curriculum. The drill-down item analysis from ETS will help in this diagnosis. | ETS-Legal 100 80 60 40 20 2010 2012 2014 2016 | | | | | |
| LO6 - Global - measured using the International score from the ETS Business test | Summative - External. Comparative Data - two prior administrations | Goal Continuous Improvement- 2014 score is down from the 2012 high but is marginally better than the 2010 result. This needs further watching | Score is up and down, but we are concerned because it is less than 50th percentile. | While our CPC reveals coverage across the curriculum, referred this issue to the joint curriculum committee. See option tabs to diagnose this problem. | ETS-International 60 40 20 2010 2012 2014 2016 | | | | | |
| LO 2 Analytical Ability- Measured by Employer survey | Summative - External - Comparative - two prior administrations | | indicates no improvement needed. However, in light of the ETS Quantitative score above this item merits more work | Since this is automatically collected, we will have another opportunity to review this and (see above), we purchased drill-down item analysis from ETS to determine the exact nature of the problem | Employer - Analytical 95.00 90.00 85.00 2010-11 2012-13 2013-14 2015-16 | | | | | |
| IO 3 Oral and Written Communication Skills- measured by employer survey | Summative - External - Comparative - two prior administrations | · | Good solid performance again on these measures. Both 2014 results exceed the average of the previous two administrations | as part of the employer survey, but we should place it on the two year review cycle. This is a strong performance. | Employer -Communication 0.90 0.85 0.80 0.75 2010-11 2012-13 2013-14 2016-17 | | | | | |
| LO1 Integrating across disciplines - measured by Graduating Senior Survey | Summative - Internal - self reported. Comparative - rolling average of prior surveys | Goal-Continuous improvement compared to rolling average | Both measures returned to above the rolling average. For the life-long learning measure it does appear that the fall 2013 was uncharacteristich jow, the measure has returned to long-term average | This tem is automatically collected as part of the graduating senior survey, but we should place it on the two year review cycle. This is a strong performance. Since the performance is so high, and the question includes economics, globalization and competitive challenge, these items should be broken out on the survey. | Integrating Across Disciplines Spring 2011 | | | | | |

| Problem Solving-measured | Summative - Internal - self reported. Comparative - rolling average of prior surveys | Goal- Continuous improvement compared to rolling average | average. Risk and Uncertainty measure - slightly below the | We will continue to collect this data as it is part of the routine survey, but we have also purchased the drill- down item analysis from ETS to investigate the decline further. | 120 100 80 60 40 20 | Spring 2011 Fall 2011 Spring 2012 Fall 2012 Fall 2012 Fall 2013 Fall 2013 Spring 2014 Spring 2016 |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| LO3-Communication Skills- measured by Graduating Senior Survey | Summative - Internal - self reported. Comparative - rolling average of prior surveys | compared to rolling average | presentation skills returned to slightly above the historical average of 86 with a score of 88. The performance of | Good performance - no recommendation at this time. The survey will be adjusted to isolate the two elements of communication that are embedded in the first question: 1) Written, 2) Oral. | and deliver a persuasive communicate using and collab presentation, both written information technology. have impro and oral. empathy, t with respe | interpersonal ocrative skills oved (showing reating others ct, promoting y and trust). |